UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,363	01/21/2004	Hiroshi Miyahara	. 040012	9816
23850 7590 05/08/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			DIACOU, ARI M	
SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summer	10/760,363	MIYAHARA, HIROSHI				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ari M. Diacou	3663				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Ari M. Diacou.	(3)					
(2) Mel Quintos.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 04 May 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>7</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>No</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•						
•						
•						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

aminer's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 12-18-2006 applicant submitted claims in response to an action mailed 11-16-2006. Examiner Diacou said that the reply was non-responsive because the examiner had ascertained that claim 7 read on embodiments 6 and/or 9, while the applicant had elected embodiment 5. Mr. Quintos argued that the amendments to claim 7 were enabled by the disclosure pertaining to embodiment 1, which is encompassed by embodiment 5, and therefore reads on the elected embodiment. As a result of the interview, Examiner Diacou was convinced, and agreed to find the reply dated 4-6-2007 responsive.